arXiv:hep-th/9401038v1 10 Jan 1994

PUPT-1440
Dec 1993

An Exact Solution to O(26) Sigma Model Coupled to 2-D Quantum Gravity

Weidong Zhao*

Joseph Henry Laboratories
Princeton University
Princeton, NJ 08544, USA

ABSTRACT

By a mapping to the bosonic string theory, we present an exact solution to the O(26) sigma model coupled to 2-D quantum gravity. In particular, we obtain the exact gravitational dressing to the various matter operators classified by the irreducible representations of O(26). We also derive the exact form of the gravitationally modified beta function for the original coupling constant e^2. The relation between our exact solution and the asymptotic solution given in ref[3] is discussed in various aspects.

⋆ work supported by NSERC postdoctoral fellowship
e-mail: wdz@puhep1.princeton.edu

Consider the following two dimensional O(N) nonlinear sigma model[1]

$$I = \frac{1}{e^2} \int d\sigma^2 \partial n^i \partial n_i. \tag{1}$$

This model is well known to exhibit such features as the asymptotic freedom at the UV limit, and dimensional transmutation leading to massive modes as low-lying excitations, etc. An easy way to visualize these properties is through the large N expansion, in which the mass of the vector-like excitation is related to the bare coupling constant by $m^2 = \Lambda^2 \exp(-\frac{4\pi}{Ne^2})$. An exact solution to this model is also available by the means of Bethe Ansatz[2].

We may ask what would happen if this model is coupled to the 2-D gravity. This is a problem of the gravitational dressing to a renormalizable but not conformally invariant 2-D field theory. This problem has recently attracted some attention, see Ref.[3,4]. In particular, a modification to the beta functions in the presence of gravity has been derived to the one-loop level[3]. The modification, suspected to be universal, is verified successfully in several cases including the gravitational dressing to the O(N) sigma model (1). It is important to extend these results to higher loops, and if possible, to obtain exact solutions to this problem.

In analogy to the 2-D conformal matters coupled to gravity, there are the light-cone and conformal gauge approaches available to this problem[5,6]. The conformal gauge approach, known as the DDK approach in the case of conformal matter coupling to gravity, requires to introduce a fiducial world-sheet metric $\hat{g}$, with respect to which the gauge fixed theory is exactly conformal invariant. As usual, the Liouville mode ϕ serves as the only dynamic degree of freedom left from gravity, and its coupling to the matter is encoded in a nonlinear sigma model with both ϕ and the matter fields as coordinates. The conformal invariance can be implemented by requiring the beta functions of this sigma model to vanish exactly. This procedure is reduced to the DDK approach when the bare matter is conformally invariant. When the bare matter is not conformally invariant, one must in principle solve the equation of the exact beta functions. Up to the one-loop level, these beta functions read [7]

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^G_{ij} &= R_{ij} - \nabla_i \nabla_j \Phi \\ \beta^\Phi &= \frac{26 - N}{3} - \nabla^2 \Phi - (\nabla \Phi)^2 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where G_{ij} is the target space metric and Φ is the dilaton background. In principle, the beta functions at higher orders can be derived by the means of background expansion. Unfortu-

nately, this has not been worked out beyond the fourth order, therefore at this stage a solution to the exact beta function is generally impossible. Furthermore, even the ones already being derived, such as (2), have been too complicated to solve exactly. In general, this is a very hard problem.

The situation may be improved if some symmetries are involved. For instance, the model (1) possesses an O(N) symmetry. It is reasonable to expect this symmetry to survive the gravitational dressing. In other words, we assume that the nonlinear sigma model have a background that respects the symmetry O(N), under which n^i and ϕ transform as vector and scalar, respectively. It is easy to observe that the only possible background satisfying this condition is of the following form

$$I = \int d\sigma^2 \sqrt{\hat{g}} \hat{g}^{\alpha\beta} (b(\phi)\partial_\alpha \phi \partial_\beta \phi + a^2(\phi)\partial_\alpha n^i \partial_\beta n^i) + \int d\sigma^2 \sqrt{\hat{g}} R(\hat{g}) \Phi(\phi). \tag{3}$$

Now the general background dependence has been reduced to through three unknown functions b, a and Φ. Owing to the unitary normality of the vector n, these functions depend on ϕ only, and terms proportional to $n^i \partial_\alpha n^i$ do not appear in (3).

The beta function equation for (3) is a set of differential equations for b, a and Φ. Up to the one-loop level, they can be obtained by substituting the background of (3) into (2), resulting

$$(N-2) + \frac{aa'b'}{2b^2} - \frac{aa''}{b} - (N-2)\frac{a'^2}{b} = \frac{aa'}{b}\Phi' \tag{4a}$$

$$(N-1)(-\frac{a''}{a} + \frac{a'b'}{2ab}) = \Phi'' - \frac{b'\Phi'}{2b} \tag{4b}$$

$$\frac{\Phi''}{b} + (N-1)\frac{a'\Phi'}{ab} - \frac{b'\Phi'}{2b^2} + (\Phi')^2 = \frac{26-N}{3} \tag{4c}$$

Note that letting $b = 1$ reduces these equations to the ones obtained in Ref.[3], in which an asymptotic solution for large ϕ was found

$$\begin{aligned} b &= 1, \\ \Phi &= Q\phi + \mathcal{O}(\log\phi), \\ a^2 &= 2\frac{N-2}{Q}\phi + \mathcal{O}(\log\phi), \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where $Q = \sqrt{\frac{25-c}{3}}$ and $c = N-1$. Also, the leading asymptotic form for the cosmological

constant operator was identified as

$$V^{(0)} \sim e^{-\alpha\phi} \tag{6}$$

with $\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{25-c}-\sqrt{1-c}}{\sqrt{12}}$.

Although (4) is much simpler than the original equation (2), to find an exact solution to this equation is still a hard task. Surprisingly, in the case of $N = 26$, in which even the asymptotic form (5) breaks down because of $Q = 0$, an exact solution to (4) exists. This solution, satisfying the one-loop equation (4), turns out to be also an exact solution to the beta function equation *to all orders*. In other words, the background configuration b, a, Φ given by this solution will correspond to a conformal field theory with $c = 0$ (with ghost contribution included). Our purpose in this paper is to show how these happen. The key observation here will be that the model (3) can be mapped to a trivial $D = 26$ bosonic string. As a result, the complete set of physical operators may be constructed from its counterpart in the D=26 string theory. We shall also derive the exact expressions for the gravitational dressing to n^i and various composite operators of n^i. Finally, we identify the gravitational dressing to the identity as the cosmological constant operator, and obtain the exact gravitationally dressed RG equation in the spirit of Ref.[3].

We begin by providing the following solution to (4)

$$b = 1; \quad a^2 = \phi^2; \quad \Phi = 0 \tag{7}$$

It is trivial to verify that (7) indeed satisfies the one-loop equation (4). To see that it is also an exact solution beyond the one-loop level, one may want to write down the beta function equations at two- and three loops and check the solution explicitly. However, the best way to convince oneself for this fact is to consider a D=26 critical string in the flat target space, whose action is familiar

$$I = \frac{1}{2}\int \sqrt{g} g^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha x^i \partial_\beta x^i. \tag{8}$$

Together with the ghost contribution, this is a conformal field theory with $c = 0$. This fact implies that the beta function equation, even though its exact expression is not known, must be satisfied by the trivial background $\Phi = 0$ and $R_{ijkl} = 0$ of the theory (8).

Now consider the following coordinate transformation

$$x^i = \phi n^i, \tag{9}$$

where $n^i n_i = 1$ and ϕ is the norm of the 26-component vector x^i. Substituting (9) into (8), we arrive at the following action

$$I = \frac{1}{2}\int \sqrt{g} g^{\alpha\beta}(\partial_\alpha \phi \partial_\beta \phi + \phi^2 \partial_\alpha n^i \partial_\beta n_i) \tag{10}$$

This is exactly the same action as (3), provided the background (7) is used. Since (10) is derived from (8), therefore it is also a conformal field theory with $c = 0$. In particular, we still have $\Phi = R_{ijkl} = 0$, as (9) is just a coordinate transformation and should not change the zero value of a vanishing tensor. We conclude that the background in (10) must also satisfy the exact beta function equation, even though its exact expression in terms of b, a, Φ is not given.

One might wonder whether this is the only solution to the problem. At this moment we are unable to provide a definite answer to this question. A more appropriate question to ask might be whether this solution produces behaviours more or less anticipated from a theory of this type. First of all, let us compare the solution (7) with the asymptotic form (5). The behaviour of Φ in both solutions agrees, since the asymptotics for Φ actually becomes exact as $Q = 0$ and no logarithmic correction is received. As to a^2, the coefficient $\frac{2N-2}{Q}$ for ϕ is infinite. If we interpret this infinite coefficient as the other ϕ in the exact solution (7) (since $\phi \to \infty$), then (7) does not contradict to (5). Of course, behind this naive interpretation is the statement that the linear asymptotic expansion (5) breaks down at $N = 26$. Later, we shall see another piece of evidence from the cosmological constant operator, which exhibits an asymptotic behaviour compatible to the leading asymptotic behaviour predicted by (6) for $N = 26$.

The connection (9) between the gravitationally dressed O(26) model and the trivial bosonic string is very useful in computation. In fact, one may be able to express the various quantities in the former model in terms of quantities in the latter model, and then taking advantages of the well known results of the bosonic string. For example, the correlation function $< \phi^2(z)\phi^2(0) >$

may be easily evaluated as [†]

$$< \phi^2(z)\phi^2(0) >= \sum_{i,j=1}^{26} < x^i(z)x^i(z)x^j(0)x^j(0) >= 52(log|z|)^2 \tag{11}$$

Thus, ϕ^2 is not a scaling operator. One may want to look for functions of ϕ that are scaling operators. Actually, in such a theory of DDK type, the truly meaningful scaling operators are those primary operators with conformal dimension (1,1). In the bosonic string theory, these physical operators have been constructed in terms of vertex operators a long time ago. If we make use of the connection (9), we can directly write down the physical operators for the theory (10). For example, the familiar tachyon vertex operator in the model (8) corresponds to the following operator in the model (10)

$$V(k,z) = e^{ik^i x_i(z)} = e^{ik^i n_i(z)|\phi|(z)},\ (k^i k_i = 2) \tag{12}$$

In fact, one can take any physical vertex operator in the bosonic string and simply replace the coordinates x^i by $|\phi|n^i$, obtaining a physical operator for the model (10). By this way one can in principle construct the complete set of physical operators for the gravitationally dressed O(26) model.

The physical operators constructed this way are typically unfactorized between ϕ and n^i, which is not convenient for the purpose of understanding the problem of gravitational dressing. This undesired feature is a consequence that vertex operators such as $V(k,z)$ are essentially in the momentum representation, and the coordinate transformation (9) only preserves the angular momentum manifestly. In order to conform to the relation (9), one is motivated to consider the vertex operators in the angular momentum representation. For the tachyon vertex operator $V(k,z)$, this construction can be performed as follows. We first observe that any linear combination of physical operators is still a physical operator, because the condition

† When performing the path integral, there is a difference between (10) and (3). In (3), the integration domain for ϕ is between $-\infty$ and ∞, whereas in (10) it is restricted to positive values. This may be resolved by noticing that the action (10) is symmetric under $\phi \to -\phi$. It is thus trivial to extend the intergration domain to the negative values. Similar extensions of ϕ in the physical operators obtained from (10) may also be performed by a replacement of ϕ by $|\phi|$.

for being a physical operator,

$$L_0 V = \bar{L}_0 V = V; \quad L_n V = \bar{L}_n V = 0, \quad n = 1, 2, ... \tag{13}$$

is a linear condition. Consequently, the operator $\int d^{26}k f(k)\delta(k^2-2)V(k,z)$ for any $f(k)$ is still a primary operator with conformal dimension (1,1). In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int d^{26}k \int d^{26}q f(k)f(q)\delta(k^2-2)\delta(q^2-2) < V(k,z)V(q,0) > \\ &= \int d^{26}k \int d^{26}q f(k)f(q)\delta(k^2-2)\delta(q^2-2)\delta^{26}(k+q) z^{k\cdot q}\bar{z}^{k\cdot q} \\ &\propto z^{-2}\bar{z}^{-2}. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Let us now define the following tensor operator

$$V^{(n)}_{i_1 \ldots i_n} = (-i)^n \int \frac{d^{26}k}{(2\pi)^{13}} \delta(k^2-2) k_{i_1} \ldots k_{i_n} e^{ik^i n_i |\phi|} \tag{15}$$

For $n = 0$, $V^{(0)}$ is a scalar, and must be a function of ϕ only. In fact, the integration can be performed straightforwardly, and the result is

$$V^{(0)}(\phi) = 32|\phi|^{-12} J_{12}(\sqrt{2}|\phi|) \tag{16}$$

This seems to be the only primary operator with conformal weight (1,1), which at the same time is a local function of ϕ only. We thus interpret it as the cosmological constant operator, corresponding to the gravitational dressing to the identity. Indeed, in the large ϕ limit, $V^{(0)}$ has the following expansion

$$\begin{aligned} V^{(0)}(\phi) = 32 \cdot 2^{\frac{1}{4}} |\pi|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\phi|^{-\frac{25}{2}} [&\cos(\sqrt{2}|\phi| - \frac{\pi}{4}) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m (12, 2m)}{(2\sqrt{2}|\phi|)^{2m}} \\ &- \sin(\sqrt{2}|\phi| - \frac{\pi}{4}) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m (12, 2m+1)}{(2\sqrt{2}|\phi|)^{2m+1}}] \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where $(p, m) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+p+m)}{m!\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+p-m)}$. For large ϕ, we retain only the leading term in (17)

$$V^{(0)}(\phi) \sim \exp^{-i(\sqrt{2}|\phi| - \frac{\pi}{4}) - \frac{25}{2} log|\phi|} + c.c. \tag{18}$$

This result agrees with the eqn. (6) (for N=26, $\alpha = -i\sqrt{2}$) up to a logϕ correction.† This

† For $c > 1$, both the two roots of α should be retained for a real result, and the usual arguments of analytic continuation, which results in only α_- as $c \to -\infty$, does not apply because of the c=1 barrier.

agreement not only supports the interpretation of $V^{(0)}$ as the cosmological constant operator, but also serve as further evidence for (7) to be the right solution with expected asymptotic behaviours.

From mathematical point of view, above comparison between (18) and (6) makes only formal sense, since α now is purely imaginary and the subleading logarithmic term cannot be neglected. What is more serious is that with this imaginary α the operator $V^{(0)}(\phi)$ is not positively definite. This difficulty is well known in any $c > 1$ theory, and in the present case we offer no better resolution to the problem. It is clear that a sensible prescription (perhaps some kind of analytic continuation) is needed in order for these vertices to make physical sense. For the time being, at least formally, we assume $V^{(0)}$ to play the role of the cosmological constant operator.

With $V^{(0)}$ being interpreted as the cosmological constant operator, we can obtain the exact expression for the gravitationally dressed beta function for the coupling constant $e^2 = \frac{1}{a^2}$. Following the Ref.[3], we let $\Lambda^2 = V^{(0)}(\phi)$. Since $e = \frac{1}{\phi}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{de^2}{d\log\Lambda} = \beta(e^2) &= \frac{d\phi}{d\log\Lambda}\frac{de^2}{d\phi} \\ &= 2\sqrt{2}e^{\frac{3}{2}}\frac{J_{12}(\sqrt{\frac{2}{e^2}})}{J_{13}(\sqrt{\frac{2}{e^2}})} \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

This beta function is nonperturbative in nature. Actually, the function $J_\nu(x)$ for large x has the following asymptotic expansion

$$J_\nu(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}}\left(\cos(x-\frac{\nu\pi}{2}-\frac{\pi}{4})\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^m(\nu,2m)}{(2x)^{2m}} - \sin(x-\frac{\nu\pi}{2}-\frac{\pi}{4})\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^m(\nu,2m+1)}{(2x)^{2m+1}}\right) \tag{20}$$

For small e, one can perturbatively expand (19) using (20) for $\nu = 12$ and $\nu = 13$. The occurrence of $\sin(\sqrt{\frac{2}{e^2}})$ and $\cos(\sqrt{\frac{2}{e^2}})$ in this perturbative expansion is characteristic of the nonperturbative nature of the beta function. This may be (formally) compared with the usual pattern of instanton effect $e^{-\frac{c}{e^2}}$ if we ignore the difference between an imaginary c and a real c. It would be very interesting to understand the origin of this instanton-like behaviour from the field theoretic point of view.

Finally, let us turn to the $V^{(n)}$ for general n. We will see that these $V^{(n)}$ shall produce the gravitational dressing for matter tensors of higher ranks. In fact, $V^{(n)}$ in (15) can be computed for any n, resulting

$$V^{(n)}_{j_1...j_n} = 2^{\frac{22+n}{2}} \sum_{p=0}^{[\frac{n}{2}]} \sum_{1\leq i_1<...<i_{2p}\leq n} (-1)^p F^{n,2p}(\sqrt{2}|\phi|) n_{j_1}..\hat{n}_{j_{i_1}}..\hat{n}_{j_{i_{2p}}}..n_{j_n} P_{j_{i_1}...j_{i_{2p}}} \tag{21}$$

where

$$P_{j_1...j_{2p}} = \delta_{j_1 j_2}\delta_{j_3 j_4}...\delta_{j_{2p-1}j_{2p}} + \text{symmetric permutations} \tag{22}$$

is the total symmetric tensor of rank $2p$, and $F^{n,2p}(x) = x^{-12-p}J_{12+n-p}(x)$. As usual, a hat in (21) represents a missing index. Obviously, for $n = 0$, (21) agrees with (16).

Let us see some examples now. For $n = 1$, we have

$$V^{(1)}_j = 2^{\frac{23}{2}} F^{1,0}(\sqrt{2}|\phi|) n_j = 2^{\frac{11}{2}} \phi^{-12} J_{13}(\sqrt{2}|\phi|) n_\mu \tag{23}$$

Thus, $F^{1,0}(\sqrt{2}|\phi|)$ can be naturally interpreted as the gravitational dressing for the matter operator n_j. For $n = 2$, the eqn.(21) becomes

$$V^{(2)}_{ij} = 2^{12}(F^{2,0}(\sqrt{2}|\phi|) n_i n_j - F^{2,2}(\sqrt{2}|\phi|)\delta_{ij}) \tag{24}$$

In this expression ϕ and n are not factorized. The reason is that $V^{(2)}_{ij}$ is not in an irreducible representation of O(26). In fact, if we consider the traceless part of $V^{(2)}_{ij}$

$$-\int \frac{d^{26}k}{(2\pi)^{13}} \delta(k^2-2)(k_i k_j - \frac{1}{13}\delta_{ij}) e^{ik^i n_i|\phi|} = V^{(2)}_{ij} + \frac{1}{13} V^{(0)}\delta_{ij} \tag{25}$$

It is easy to prove, using the identity $\frac{2n}{x}J_n(x) - J_{n-1}(x) = J_{n+1}(x)$, that this traceless tensor is equal to

$$2^{12} F^{2,0}(\sqrt{2}|\phi|)(n_i n_j - \frac{1}{26}\delta_{ij}) \tag{26}$$

Now $F^{2,0}(\sqrt{2}|\phi|)$ can be interpreted as the gravitational dressing of the rank 2 traceless tensor $n_i n_j - \frac{1}{26}\delta_{ij}$.

The lesson we learn from the above example of $n = 2$ is that, it is the irreducible representation of tensor n_i that receives the multiplicative gravitational dressing. This is natural because the theory has the symmetry of O(26), so its spectrum and Liouville dressing should be classified by the irreducible representations of O(26). From this point of view, we can determine the gravitational dressings for tensors of higher ranks as follows.

Since all the tensors of higher ranks are constructed from the vector n^i, they must be totally symmetric. For O(N) group, the only totally symmetric tensors corresponding to irreducible representations are the traceless tensors[8]. At any given rank n, such a tensor can be constructed from the vector n^i as

$$T_{i_1\ldots i_n}(n) = \sum_{p=0}^{[\frac{n}{2}]} \sum_{1\le j_1<\ldots<j_{2p}\le n} \frac{(-1)^p}{Q(n,p)} n_{i_1}..\hat{n}_{i_{j_1}}..\hat{n}_{i_{j_{2p}}}..n_{i_n} P_{i_{j_1}\ldots i_{j_{2p}}} \tag{27}$$

where $Q(n,p) = \prod_{q=0}^{p-1}(22+2n-2q)$ for $p > 0$, and $Q(n,0) = 1$. One may verify that (27) with such a choice of coefficients indeed satisfies the traceless condition. Likewise, for the irreducible traceless tensor constructed from k_i, we have

$$S_{i_1\ldots i_n}(k) = \sum_{p=0}^{[\frac{n}{2}]} \sum_{1\le j_1<\ldots<j_{2p}\le n} \frac{(-1)^p 2^p}{Q(n,p)} k_{i_1}..\hat{k}_{i_{j_1}}..\hat{k}_{i_{j_{2p}}}..k_{i_n} P_{i_{j_1}\ldots i_{j_{2p}}} \tag{28}$$

where the difference of the coefficients between (27) and (28) is due to the difference of the norms of the vectors k^i and n^i. Now, both S and T are symmetric traceless tensors. According to the arguments presented above, we conjecture the following relation

$$(-i)^n \int \frac{d^{26}k}{(2\pi)^{13}} \delta(k^2-2) S_{i_1\ldots i_n}(k) e^{ik^i n_i \phi} = 2^{\frac{22+n}{2}} F^{n,0}(\sqrt{2}|\phi|) T_{i_1\ldots i_n}(n). \tag{29}$$

If this relation is held true, $F^{n,0}(\sqrt{2}|\phi|)$ in (29) can be interpreted as the gravitational dressing to the irreducible representation $T_{i_1\ldots i_n}$ of rank n. We have explicitly verified (29) up to $n = 4$. However, so far we have not been able to give an analytic proof for it.

In conclusion, we have presented an exact solution to the O(26) sigma model coupled to gravity, by mapping the model to a trivial bosonic string theory. As a result, we obtained

the exact gravitational dressing to a variety of matter fields which form irreducible representations of O(26). Also, we obtained an exact beta function for the coupling constant e^2. This beta function is nonperturbative in nature, and therefore cannot be compared directly to the perturbative results discussed in [3]. In fact, at $N = 26$, we find $k = -1$, which means the prefactor $\frac{k+2}{k+1}$ in [3] diverges. It might be useful to conduct a $N = 26 + \epsilon$ analysis to clarify the relationship between the perturbative results and our results.

There are still many questions needed to be addressed. Among them, how to deal with the imaginary α is certainly of primary importance. Unfortunately, a solution to this notorious problem seems still out of our reach. Another perhaps easier problem is to understand the roles of the other physical operators corresponding to the vertex operators of higher mass levels. They appear to be related to the gravitational dressing to the matter operators involving world-sheet derivatives. At this moment, the picture in this aspect is still unclear.

Acknowledgements: It is my pleasure to thank A.Polyakov for discussion.

REFERENCES

1. See, for example, A.Polyakov, *Gauge Fields and Strings*, Harwood Academic Publishers (1987)
2. A.B.Zamolodchikov and Al.B. Zamolodchikov, Ann. Phys. **120** (1979) 253
3. I.Kogan, I.Klebanov and A.Polyakov, Princeton preprint PUPT-1421, September 1993, hep-th/9309106
4. J.Ambjorn and Kazuo Ghoroku, preprint NBI-HE-93-63, Nov. 1993; Y.Tanii, S.Kojima and N.Sakai, preprint Imperial/TP/93-94/10; TIT/HEP-244, Nov. 1993
5. V.Knizhnik, A.Polyakov and A.Zamolodchikov, Mord. Phys. Lett. **A3** (1988) 819
6. F.David, Mord. Phys. Lett. **A3** (1988) 1651;J.Distler and H.Kawai, Nucl. Phys. **B321** ((1989)) 509
7. C.Callen, E.Martinec, M.Perry and D.Friedan, Nucl. Phys. **B262** (1985) 593
8. See, for example, M.Hamermesh, *Group Theory and Its Application to Physical Problems*, Addison Wesley Inc., 1962